Mining Journal







NEWSLETTERS

Home

World

Opinion

Finance/Investment

People

Services

Technology

Focus

Comr

Home > Opinion > Short-termism makes more sense

Short-termism makes more sense

Without a transformational shift in cyclical conditions to lift investment returns, mining portfolio investors need more subtle money making strategies.

John Robertson* 15 Sep 2016 7:53 Opinion











The success of St George on the ground has ceased to translate through to share-price appreciation

Mining sector investment returns, which moved sharply positive in the earlier months of 2016, have appeared to stall after closing in on the prices which had prevailed in mid-2015.

The Euromoney Global Mining Equity Price index, for example, has nearly doubled since late January 2016 but remains lower than at any time between the first quarter of 2009 and mid-2015.

Of the performance limiting factors, the most important is the absence of a global growth acceleration. While other influences, such as exchange rate fluctuations, may also affect prices, no cycles exist without variations in global growth rates.

International Monetary Fund head Christine Largarde recently foreshadowed a further downgrade to the group's near-term growth forecasts in its upcoming World Economic Outlook scheduled for October.

Related content

- · Some heat still in Oz coal
- · Gold good, but still risky
- · Deal or no deal
- Trading on a name
- · Fortescue hits back at sceptics

TOPICS (select for more information):

Coal

Lithium

Australia

Impact Minerals

Orocobre

St George Mining

Whitehaven Coal

A cyclical recovery for mining is hard to foresee without the recently-experienced sequence of negative growth revisions being reversed. More buoyant expectations among forecasters, driven by better outcomes, will also contribute to more robust risk appetites among investors and traders.

A poor discovery track record, itself partly tied to burgeoning numbers of companies vying for a falling share of available funds, has lessened the appeal of the sector.

The most risk-tolerant of investors on whom the mining industry has historically depended also have a widening range of securities with which to satisfy their cravings for risk.

"Value is an important guidepost for an investor trying to time market entries"

With such limits on the infusion of enthusiastic new buyers, profit taking among existing investors responding to the unusually strong early 2016 gains has placed a cap on further positive price action.

The resulting tendency for prices to stall quickly after an initial performance burst needs to be considered in taking investment decisions about the sector. Unless an investor is prepared to wear the opportunity costs accompanying periods of limited or negative returns, the market backdrop suggests a need for shorter-term investment horizons.

Recently, the macro-driven PortfolioDirect model portfolio has had the highest cash allocation since it was initiated in 2013. The size of the cash allocation is partly due to cyclical conditions but also a consequence of position trimming in the belief that stock prices cannot sustain rises in the absence of new sources of portfolio funds flowing into the sector.

Since May 2013, this approach would have beaten the S&P/ASX 200 resources benchmark against which the model performance is tested by 49 percentage points.

At this point in the cycle, the PortfolioDirect model remains weighted toward a core of 'Phase III' companies. These are companies with established long-term production bases.

The model is structured to allocate more to Phase I (exploration) and Phase II (growth through project development) as the cycle progresses.

Impact Minerals and St George Mining are examples of two of the more exciting exploration companies active on the Australian scene and used in the portfolio model.

St George has interests in nickel, copper and gold-bearing deposits around Laverton and Leonora in Western Australia. A rapid succession of favourable drill results is suggestive of a nickel-copper system with development potential.

Impact Minerals recently reported a 48m-thick interval of sulphide mineralisation at its Commonwealth prospect in New South Wales. A combination of recent results points to an extensive epithermal system endowed with precious metals.

St George produced a 160% return in late April 2016 before giving back 70% of the improvement. Successive exploration results – none of which could be described as disappointing – have received a more muted response than the initial discovery, leaving current investors who joined the rally at the end of April with a negative return.

Since November 2015, the share price of Impact Minerals has largely been confined to a A\$0.025-0.035 trading range. Success on the ground has not been enough to break the seemingly impenetrable upper end of the company's share price barrier.

Further along the development curve, Phase II companies should attract value-oriented investors wanting to back development success.

Execution risk is the biggest drawback for Phase II company investors. Unmet production timetables and missed sales targets unnerve investors, erode credibility and leave loyal shareholders floundering for possibly years before being able to recoup earlier losses.

TSX and ASX listed Argentine lithium deposit developer Orocobre is in this Phase II category. Another is ASX-listed Whitehaven Coal, with production assets and growth opportunities in New South Wales.

Excitement over lithium will carry prices only so far. Conversely, pessimism over coal can be overcome by demonstrating profitability. Eventually, valuation rules. Value is an important guidepost for an investor trying to time market entries and exits at this point in the cycle for companies at this stage of development.

The Orocobre share price tripled in the first five months of 2016 but, having moved closer to realising its potential, the upward momentum has been lost despite widespread excitement about the investment prospects of lithium producers.

The Whitehaven Coal share price battled an unsympathetic market for coal equities before posting a 450% rise.

The company's ability to operate profitably in the past year as it expanded sales and reduced costs has encouraged investors to use Whitehaven Coal as an investment proxy for a recently improving coal market.

Now, with such a rapid price improvement, the market value of Whitehaven Coal is around the PortfolioDirect assessed value of the assets and return momentum has slowed.

Whitehaven investors face the risk, in the absence of sustainably higher coal prices, of a similar price trend to that experienced by Orocobre with valuation setting an upper bound for share prices.

The share prices of the four stocks referred to above would most likely rise strongly in the event of improved cyclical conditions, presenting a different investment decision-making challenge.

In the absence of that eventuality and with an ongoing shortage of new investors, closer attention needs to be paid to other market dynamics driving the price action and which typically imply shorter holding periods to maximise investment returns.

*John Robertson is the chief investment strategist for PortfolioDirect, an Australia-based equity research and resource stock rating group. He has worked as a policy economist, business strategist and investment professional for nearly 30 years, after starting his career as a federal treasury economist in Canberra, Australia

